## For the Northern District of California

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	TORTHERORITERY	
9		
10	LUISA GONZALEZ, et al.,	
11	Plaintiffs,	No. C 06-02820 WHA
12	v.	No. C 09-5371 EMC
13 14	TEXACO, INC., TEXACO PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC., CHEVRON CORPORATION,	No. C 09-33/1 EMC
15	Defendants.	ORDER THAT ACTIONS ARE
16	Defendants.	NOT DEEMED RELATED
17	CHEVRON CORPORATION,	
18	Plaintiff,	
19	v.	
20	CRISTOBAL BONIFAZ and THE LAW OFFICES OF CRISTOBAL BONIFAZ,	
21	Defendants.	
22	/	
23	Whether these cases are related is the issue. Attorney Bonifaz has paid his Rule 11	

sanction in the Gonzales case. As to him, Gonzales is over. Chevron's new action, while invoking the same underlying misconduct, alleges a much broader scheme by Attorney Bonifaz, a universe not previously part of the Gonzales misconduct by Attorney Bonifaz. Chevron seeks to go so far as to sue for Attorney Bonifaz's succeeding in obtaining legislation in Ecuador to "circumvent" a prior settlement and asking the government in that country to seek "sham

## Case 4:09-cv-05371-CW Document 7 Filed 11/30/09 Page 2 of 2

indictments" against signatories to the prior settlement, circumstances never having been part		
of Gonzales. The overlap is small. There will be no substantial saving of judicial resources in		
relating these cases. The tail would have to wag the dog to deem these cases related. It does not		
matter that as to two other attorneys in the first case there is some unfinished business, for that		
work will all relate solely to the original misconduct, not the broader scheme alleged in the new		
case. Therefore, this order holds that the two actions should <i>not</i> be deemed related.		

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2009.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE